

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,866	03/23/2004	Ted M. Dean	Tru Vision-002	5509
21897	7590 07/28/2006		EXAMINER	
THE MATTHEWS FIRM			AHMAD, NASSER	
2000 BERING SUITE 700	G DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	HOUSTON, TX 77057			
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/807,866	DEAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nasser Ahmad	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 J	<u>une 2006</u> .				
<i>,</i> —	·				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-13 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-11 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	,, , , , , ,	(DTD 110)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/9/2006 has been entered.

Rejections Withdrawn

- 2. Claims 1-4, 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Repaci (6109582) made in the Office Actions of 12/12/2005 and 5/10/2005 has been withdrawn in view of the amendment filed on 6/9/2006.
- 3. Claims 5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Repaci made in the Office Actions has been withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miller (6840391) made in the Office Actions has been withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 5. Claims 4, 6-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller made in the Office Actions has been withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Art Unit: 1772

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Downs (6830795).

Downs relate to a merchandising strip for displaying a plurality of discrete packages, Comprising an elongated, narrow strip (figure-1) of plastic (col. 3, lines 60-65) having a given width, and having a longitudinal axis, said strip of plastic having a first coated side (106, 114, 120) and a seçond uncoated side whereby said-coated side allows said plastic strip to be easily rolled up and unrolled, and a plurality of adhesive elements (figure-1, #130 or figure-6, # 256, 260, 264), each having a width less than said given width, laminated to said uncoated side of said strip of plastic, said adhesive elements being aligned along the longitudinal axis of said ship, and having a predetermined spacing between each two of said plurality of adhesive elements.

Art Unit: 1772

Polyethylene, polypropylene, polyester, etc. (col. 3, lines 60-65) are well known as clear plastic.

The release coating is silicone (col. 4, line 21) and the adhesive strips are of rectangular configuration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 5, 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Downs

Regarding claims 6-11, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify Downs by providing the adhesive elements to have configurations or shapes such as circular, triangular, pentagonal, oval, star, etc. for aesthetic appeal because it only requires a mere change in the shape of the element. A change in shape is generally recognized to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dailey*, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1772

The prior art fails to teach that the strip has a hole near one end, or first and second holes, each near one end of the strip.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. July 24, 2006.